Fixed Point Theorems in Nonlinear Analysis (Nonlinear Functional Analysis)

数理解析研究所講究録
428巻 1981年 70-92

Fixed Point Theorems in Nonlinear Analysis

Wataru TAKAHASHI
Tokyo Institute of Technology

Let X be a given set and consider a mapping T of X into X. Then a point x such that $Tx = x$ is called a fixed point of T. Furthermore consider a mapping T of X into 2^X (the set of all subsets of X). Then a fixed point for T is a point x such that $x \in Tx$. A fixed point exists under suitable conditions of T and X. The theorems concerning fixed points are the so-called fixed point theorems and they are very useful in nonlinear analysis.

Let H be a real Hilbert space and let C be nonempty closed convex subset of H. A mapping $T: C \to C$ is called non-expansive on C, or $T \in Cont(C)$ if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in C$. Let F(T) be the set of fixed points of T, that is, $F(T) = \{ z \in C : Tz = z \}$. Then, the set F(T) is obviously closed and convex. Let $S = \{ S(t) : t \geq 0 \}$ be a family of nonexpansive mappings of C into itself such that $S(0) = I$, $S(t+s) = S(t)S(s)$ for all $t, s \in [0,\infty)$ and $S(t)x$ is continuous in $t \in [0,\infty)$ for each $x \in C$. Then, S is called a nonexpansive semigroup on C. The fixed point set F(S) of S is defined by

$$F(S) = \{ x \in C : S(t)x = x \text{ for all } t \in [0,\infty) \}.$$

The first nonlinear ergodic theorem for nonexpansive mappings was established by Baillon [1]: Let $C \subset H$, $T \in Cont(C)$ and

$F(T) \neq \phi$. Then, Cesàro means

$$S_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k x$$

converge weakly as $n \to \infty$ to a fixed point of T for each $x \in C$. A corresponding result for nonexpansive semigroups on C was given by Baillon [2] and Baillon-Brézis [3]. Nonlinear ergodic theorems for general commutative semigroups of nonexpansive mappings were given by Brézis-Browder [6] and Hirano-Takahashi [13].

In this talk, we prove a nonlinear ergodic theorem for non-commutative semigroups of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space. By the same method, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a non-commutative semigroup to have a fixed point. This is a generalization of Pazy's results [15], [17]. Secondly, we give a necessary and sufficient condition under which a variational inequality [22] defined on unbounded sets in a Banach space has a solution. Using this, we solve the complementarity problem [14], [23] and a fixed point theorem. We also establish a necessary and sufficient condition under which the minimax equality on unbounded sets holds. Finally, using the Ky Fan-Browder fixed point theorem [7], [10], we obtain Fan's existence theorem [9] concerning systems of convex inequalities in topological vector spaces. Then we present a generalization of the Hahn-Banach theorem and a separation theorem on a linear space.

§1. Nonlinear ergodic theorem.

Let S be an abstract semigroup and m(S) the Banach space of all bounded real valued functions on S with the supremum norm. For each $s \in S$ and $f \in m(S)$, we define elements f_s and f^s in m(S) given by $f_s(t) = f(st)$ and $f^s(t) = f(ts)$ for all $t \in S$. An element $\mu \in m(S)^*$ (the dual space of m(S)) is called a mean on S if $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$. A mean μ is called left [right] invariant if $\mu(f_s) = \mu(f)$ [$\mu(f^s) = \mu(f)$] for all $f \in m(S)$ and $s \in S$. An invariant mean is a left and right invariant mean. A semigroup which has a left [right] invariant mean is called left [right] amenable. A semigroup which has an invariant mean is called amenable. Day [8] proved that a commutative semigroup is amenable. We also know that $\mu \in m(S)^*$ is a mean on S if and only if

$$\inf\{ f(s) : s \in S \} \leqslant \mu(f) \leqslant \sup\{ f(s) : s \in S \}$$

for every $f \in m(S)$.

Now we prove a nonlinear ergodic theorem for noncommutative semigroups of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space. The proof employs the methods of [16], [20] and [21].

THEOREM 1. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and S be an amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings t of C into itself. Suppose that

$$F(S) = \cap \{F(t) : t \in S\} \neq \phi.$$

Then, there exists a nonexpansive retraction P of C onto F(S) such that $Pt = tP = P$ for every $t \in S$ and $Px \in \overline{co}\,\{tx : t \in S\}$ for every $x \in C$, where $\overline{co}\,A$ is the closure of convex hull of A.

PROOF. Let μ be an invariant mean on S and $x \in C$. Then since $F(S) \neq \phi$, $\{tx : t \in S\}$ is bounded and hence, for each y in H, the real-valued function $t \to \langle tx, y\rangle$ is in m(S). Denote by $\mu_t\langle tx, y\rangle$ the value of μ at this function. By linearity of μ and of the inner product, this is linear in y; moreover, since

$$|\mu_t\langle tx, y\rangle| \leq \|\mu\| \cdot \sup_t |\langle tx, y\rangle| \leq (\sup_t \|tx\|) \cdot \|y\|,$$

it is continuous in y, so by the Riesz theorem, there exists an $x_0 \in H$ such that

$$\mu_t\langle tx, y\rangle = \langle x_0, y\rangle$$

for every $y \in H$. Setting $Px = x_0$, we have

$$Px \in \overline{co}\,\{tx : t \in S\}.$$

In fact, if $Px \notin \overline{co}\,\{tx : t \in S\}$, then by the separation theorem there exists a $y_0 \in H$ such that

$$\langle Px, y_0\rangle < \inf\{\langle z, y_0\rangle : z \in \overline{co}\,\{tx : t \in S\}\}.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_t \langle tx, y_0\rangle \leq \mu_t\langle tx, y_0\rangle &= \langle Px, y_0\rangle \\ &< \inf\{\langle z, y_0\rangle : z \in \overline{co}\,\{tx : t \in S\}\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \inf_t \langle tx, y_0 \rangle$$

This is a contradiction. Let $s \varepsilon S$. Then we have

$$0 \leq \|tx - x_0\|^2 - \|stx - sx_0\|^2$$
$$\leq \|tx - sx_0\|^2 + 2\langle tx - sx_0, sx_0 - x_0\rangle$$
$$+ \|sx_0 - x_0\|^2 - \|stx - sx_0\|^2$$

and hence

$$0 \leq \mu_t(\|tx - sx_0\|^2 + 2\langle tx - sx_0, sx_0 - x_0\rangle$$
$$+ \|sx_0 - x_0\|^2 - \|stx - sx_0\|^2)$$
$$= \mu_t\|tx - sx_0\|^2 + 2\langle x_0 - sx_0, sx_0 - x_0\rangle$$
$$+ \|sx_0 - x_0\|^2 - \mu_t\|tx - sx_0\|^2$$
$$= 2\langle x_0 - sx_0, sx_0 - x_0\rangle + \|sx_0 - x_0\|^2$$
$$= -\|x_0 - sx_0\|^2 .$$

This implies $sx_0 = x_0$ for every $s \varepsilon S$ and hence we have $sPx = Px$ for every $s \varepsilon S$. From

$$\langle Psx, y\rangle = \mu_t\langle tsx, y\rangle = \mu_t\langle tx, y\rangle = \langle Px, y\rangle$$

and

$$\langle P^2x, y\rangle = \mu_t\langle tPx, y\rangle = \mu_t\langle Px, y\rangle = \langle Px, y\rangle ,$$

it follows that $Ps = P$ for every $s \varepsilon S$ and $P^2 = P$. At last, we prove that P is nonexpansive. In fact, we have

$$\|Px - Py\|^2 = \langle Px - Py, Px - Py\rangle = \mu_t\langle tx - ty, Px - Py\rangle$$
$$\leqslant (\sup_t \|tx - ty\|)\cdot\|Px - Py\|$$
$$\leqslant \|x - y\|\cdot\|Px - Py\|$$

for every $x, y \in C$.

As a direct consequence, we have

COROLLARY 1. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and S be a commutative semigroup of nonexpansive mappings t of C into itself. Suppose that $F(S) \neq \phi$. Then there exists a nonexpansive retraction P of C onto F(S) such that $Pt = tP = P$ for every $t \in S$ and $Px \in \overline{co}\{ tx : t \in S\}$ for every $x \in C$.

By the method of Theorem 1, we can prove the following

THEOREM 2. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and S be a left amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings t of C into itself. Then, $F(S) \neq \phi$ if and only if there exists an $x_0 \in C$ such that $\{ tx_0 : t \in S \}$ is bounded.

As direct consequences, we obtain Pazy's results [15] and [17].

COROLLARY 2. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and T be a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself. Then, $F(T) \neq \phi$ if and only if there exists an element

$x_0 \in C$ such that the sequence $\{ T^n x_0 : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is bounded.

COROLLARY 3. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and $S = \{ S(t) : t \geqslant 0\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup on C. Then, $F(S) \neq \phi$ if and only if there exists an element $x_0 \in C$ such that $\{ S(t)x_0 : t \geqslant 0 \}$ is bounded.

§2. Variational inequalities.

Let E be a real reflexive Banach space and C be a closed convex subset of E. A mapping $T: C \to E^*$ is said to be monotone if $(Tx-Ty, x-y) \geqslant 0$ for all $x, y \in C$, and hemicontinuous on C if for any $u, v \in C$, the mapping $t \to T(tv+(1-t)u)$ of $[0,1]$ to E^* is continuous when E^* is endowed with the weak* topology. Also T is said to be coercive on C if for some $u \in C$,

$$\lim_{\substack{\|x\| \to \infty \\ x \in C}} (Tx, x-u)/\|x\| = +\infty .$$

A mapping $F: C \to E$ said to be nonexpansive if for any $x, y \in C$, $\|Fx - Fy\| \leqslant \|x - y\|$. We note that if E is a real Hilbert space and $F: C \to E$ is nonexpansive, then $I-F$ is a monotone mapping of C into E. Let H, K be nonempty closed subsets of the Banach space E, then we denote by $\partial_H K$ the set of $z \in K$ such that $U(z) \cap (H-K) \neq \phi$ for every neighborhood $U(z)$ of z and by $i_H K$ the set of $z \in K$ such that $U(z) \cap (H-K) = \phi$ for some neighborhood $U(z)$ of z.

THEOREM 3. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E and T be a monotone and hemicontinuous mapping of C into E^*. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) There exists $x_0 \in C$ such that $(Tx_0, y-x_0) \geqslant 0$ for all $y \in C$;

(2) there exists a bounded closed convex subset K of C such that for each $z \in \partial_C K$, there exists $y \in i_C K$ which satisfies $(Tz, y-z) \leqslant 0$.

PROOF. First we show that (1) implies (2). Let x_0 be an element of C such that $(Tx_0, y-x_0) \geqslant 0$ for all $y \in C$. Set $d = \|x_0-y_0\|$ where $y_0 \in C$ and $y_0 \neq x_0$, and $K = \{x \in C: \|x-x_0\| \leqslant d\}$. Then we have $x_0 \in i_C K$. Let $z \in \partial_C K$. By the monotonicity of T, it follows that $(Tz, z-x_0) \geqslant (Tx_0, z-x_0) \geqslant 0$. Therefore, we have $(Tz, x_0-z) \leqslant 0$. Next we show that (2) implies (1). Let K be a bounded closed convex subset of C which satisfies the condition (2). Since K is weakly compact convex, there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that $(Tx_0, x-x_0) \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in K$ (cf. [4],[5]). If $x_0 \in i_C K$, then for each $y \in C$ we can choose $\lambda > 0$ so small that $x = \lambda y+(1-\lambda)x_0$ lies in K. Then $(Tx_0, \lambda y+(1-\lambda)x_0-x_0) \geqslant 0$ and hence $\lambda(Tx_0, y-x_0) \geqslant 0$. Cancelling λ, we have $(Tx_0, y-x_0) \geqslant 0$. If $x_0 \in \partial_C K$, then, by the hypothesis, there exists $z_0 \in i_C K$ such that $(Tx_0, z_0-x_0) \leqslant 0$. Since $(Tx_0, x-x_0) \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in K$, we have $(Tx_0, x-z_0) \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in C$. Since $z_0 \in i_C K$, for each

$y \in C$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $x = \lambda y+(1-\lambda)z_0$ lies in K. Then $\lambda(Tx_0, y-z_0) \geqslant 0$. Cancelling λ, we have $(Tx_0, y-z_0) \geqslant 0$. Then since $(Tx_0, z_0-x_0) \geqslant 0$, we obtain $(Tx_0, y-x_0) \geqslant 0$.

The following corollaries are direct consequences of Theorem 3.

CORORALLY 4. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E and T be a monotone hemicontinuous mapping of C into E*. If T is coercive on C, then there exists $x_0 \in C$ such that $(Tx_0, y-x_0) \geqslant 0$ for all $y \in C$.

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that the coercivity condition implies the condition (2) of Theorem 3. By the definition of coercivity, there exist $y \in C$ and positive numbers c, k such that $\|y\| < c$ and $(Tx, x-y) \geqslant k\|x\|$ for $x \in C$ with $\|x\| \geqslant c$. If we set $K = \{x \in C: \|x\| \leqslant c\}$, then it is obvious that K satisfies the condition (2) of Theorem 3.

Corollary 4 has a very interesting interpretation when C is a closed convex cone.

COROLLARY 5. Let C be a nonempty closed convex cone in a reflexive Banach space E and T be a monotone hemicontinuous mapping of C into E*. If T is coercive, then there exists an $x_0 \in C$ such that $-Tx_0 \in C^*$ and $(Tx_0, x_0) = 0$ where $C^* = \{u \in E^*: (u, x) \leqslant 0$ for all $x \in C\}$.

PROOF. By Corollary 4, there exists $x_0 \in C$ such that $(Tx_0, y-x_0) \geqslant 0$ for all $y \in C$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 of [14] that $-Tx_0 \in C^*$ and $(Tx_0, x_0) = 0$.

COROLLARY 6. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H such that $0 \in C$ and T be a nonexpansive mapping of C into H. If there exists a bounded closed convex set $K \subset C$ such that $0 \in i_C K$ and $\|Tz\| \leqslant \|z\|$ for all $z \in \partial_C K$, then there exists an $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$\|x_0 - Tx_0\| = \min\{\|y - Tx_0\| : y \in C\}.$$

Particularly, if T mapps C into itself, there exists $x_0 \in C$ such that $Tx_0 = x_0$.

PROOF. It is obvious that the mapping I-T of C into H is monotone and hemicontinuous. Since $\|Tz\| \leqslant \|z\|$ for all $z \in \partial_C K$, we have $(z-Tz, -z) \leqslant 0$ for all $z \in \partial_C K$. Since $0 \in i_C K$, K satisfies the condition (2) of Theorem 3. Therefore there exists $x_0 \in C$ such that $(x_0 - Tx_0, y - x_0) \geqslant 0$ for all $y \in C$. Hence we obtain $\|x_0 - Tx_0\| \leqslant \|y - Tx_0\|$ for all $y \in C$. Particularly, if T mapps C into itself, we have $\min\{\|y - Tx_0\| : y \in C\} = 0$ and hence $Tx_0 = x_0$.

§3. Minimax theorem.

Next we consider a minimax theorem and establish a necessary and sufficient condition under which the minimax equality on unbounded sets holds.

THEOREM 4. Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces, and let $A \subset X$, $B \subset Y$ be nonempty closed convex sets. If F is a function on $A \times B$ such that for each $y \in B$, $F(\cdot, y)$ is an upper semi-continuous concave function on A and for each $x \in A$, $F(x, \cdot)$

is a lower semicontinuous convex function on B, then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) $$\max_{x\in A}\min_{y\in B} F(x,y) = \min_{y\in B}\max_{x\in A} F(x,y);$$

(2) there exist bounded closed convex sets $K \subset A$ and $L \subset B$ such that for each $(x,y) \in (\partial_A K \times L) \cup (K \times \partial_B L)$, there exists a $(u,v) \in i_A K \times i_B L$ which satisfies $F(u,y) \geqslant F(x,v)$.

PROOF. First we show that (1) implies (2). If (1) holds, then there exists $(x_0,y_0) \in A \times B$ such that $F(x_0,y) \geqslant F(x_0,y_0) \geqslant F(x,y_0)$ for all $(x,y) \in A \times B$. Let $K = \{x \in A: \|x_0-x\| \leqslant \|x_0-a\|\}$ and $L = \{y \in B: \|y_0-y\| \leqslant \|y_0-b\|\}$, where $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $x_0 \neq a$ and $y_0 \neq b$. Then we have $(x_0,y_0) \in i_A K \times i_B L$ and $F(x_0,y) \geqslant F(x_0,y_0) \geqslant F(x,y_0)$ for all $(x,y) \in (\partial_A K \times L) \cup (K \times \partial_B L)$. Next we show that (2) implies (1). Let K and L be bounded closed convex sets which satisfy the condition (2). Then, by Theorem 3.8 of [4], there exists $(x_0,y_0) \in K \times L$ such that $F(x,y_0) \leqslant F(x_0,y_0) \leqslant F(x_0,y)$ for all $(x,y) \in K \times L$. Let $(x_0,y_0) \in i_A K \times i_B L$. Then for each $x \in A$ we can choose $\lambda > 0$ so small that $\lambda x+(1-\lambda)x_0 \in K$. Since $F(\cdot,y)$ is concave, we have

$$F(x_0,y_0) \geqslant F(\lambda x+(1-\lambda)x_0,y_0) \geqslant \lambda F(x,y_0)+(1-\lambda)F(x_0,y_0)$$

and hence $F(x,y_0) \leqslant F(x_0,y_0)$. Also we obtain that $F(x_0,y_0) \leqslant F(x_0,y)$ for all $y \in B$. so, (1) holds. Let $(x_0,y_0) \in (\partial_A K \times L) \cup (K \times \partial_B L)$. Then by the condition (2) there exists $(u,v) \in i_A K \times i_B L$ such that $F(u,y_0) \geqslant F(x_0,v)$. Since $F(x,y_0) \leqslant F(x_0,y_0) \leqslant F(x_0,y)$ for all $(x,y) \in K \times L$, we have $F(u,y_0) = F(x_0,y_0) = F(x_0,v)$. For each $x \in A$, we take $\lambda > 0$ so small that $\lambda x+(1-\lambda)u \in K$. Then

$$F(x_0,y_0) \geqslant F(\lambda x+(1-\lambda)u,y_0) \geqslant \lambda F(x,y_0)+(1-\lambda)F(u,y_0)$$
$$= \lambda F(x,y_0)+(1-\lambda)F(x_0,y_0).$$

Hence we obtain that $F(x,y_0) \leqslant F(x_0,y_0)$. Also we obtain that $F(x_0,y_0) \leqslant F(x_0,y)$ for all $y \in B$. Theis completes the proof.

COROLLARY 7 (cf.[4]). Let X, Y, A, B and F satisfy the assumptions as in Theorem 4. If there exists $(x_0,y_0) \in A \times B$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{\|x\|+\|y\|\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in A\times B}} \{F(x_0,y) - F(x,y_0)\} = \infty,$$

then we have $\max_{x\in A} \min_{y\in B} F(x,y) = \min_{y\in B} \max_{x\in A} F(x,y)$.

PROOF. It is clear from the hypothesis that there exists $k > 0$ such that for every $(x,y) \in A \times B$ with $\|x\|+\|y\| \geqslant k$ we have $F(x_0,y) - F(x,y_0) > 0$. Let $K = \{x \in A: \|x_0-x\| \leqslant k\}$ and $L = \{y \in B: \|y_0-y\| \leqslant k\}$. Then for every $(x,y) \in (\partial_A K \times L) \cup (K \times \partial_B L)$, we obtain $F(x_0,y) > F(x,y_0)$. so, we obtain Corollary 7 from Theorem 4.

§4. Systems of convex inequalities.

Fan first proved the following lemma, and then Browder gave a different proof of it.

LEMMA 1(Ky Fan-Browder). Let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a separated linear topological space and T be a multi-valued mapping on X such that for each $x \in X$, Tx is a nonempty convex subset of X and $T^{-1}y = \{x \in X : y \in Tx\}$ is open in X. Then there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in Tx_0$.

Using this, we prove the following result obtained by Fan [9] which plays crucial roles to prove the main theorems.

LEMMA 2(Fan). Let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a separated linear topological space and $\{f_\nu : \nu \in I\}$ be a family of lower semicontinuous convex functionals on X with values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$. If for any finite indices $\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_n$ and for any n nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1$, there is a $y \in X$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_{\nu_i}(y) \leqslant 0 ,$$

then there is an $x \in X$ such that

$$f_\nu(x) \leqslant 0 \quad \text{for every} \quad \nu \in I.$$

PROOF. Suppose that for each $x \in X$ there is a $\nu \in I$ such that $f_\nu(x) > 0$. Setting $G_\nu = \{x \in X : f_\nu(x) > 0\}$ for each $\nu \in I$, $\{G_\nu : \nu \in I\}$ is an open covering of X. Since X is compact, there is a finite subcovering $\{G_{\nu_1}, G_{\nu_2}, \cdots, G_{\nu_n}\}$ of $\{G_\nu : \nu \in I\}$. Let $g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_n$ be a partition of unity corresponding to $\{G_{\nu_1}, G_{\nu_2}, \cdots, G_{\nu_n}\}$, i.e., each g_i is a continuous mapping of X into $[0,1]$ which vanishes outside of G_{ν_i}, while

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x) = 1$$

for every $x \in X$. Then put

$$D(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x) f_{\nu_i}(y), \qquad (x,y) \in X \times X,$$

and

$$d(x) = D(x,x), \qquad x \in X.$$

Since d is lower semicontinuous on X by [22, Lemma 3], d takes its minimum m. Hence we have

$$d(x) \geqslant m > 0, \qquad x \in X.$$

Now we define a multi-valued mapping T on X by

$$Tx = \{y \in X : D(x,y) < m\}, \qquad x \in X.$$

Then Tx is nonempty and convex by hypothesis and $T^{-1}y = \{x \in X : D(x,y) < m\}$ is open. Therefore there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $d(x_0) < m$ by Lemma 1. This is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

A functional p defined on a linear space E into the real field R is said to be sublinear if $p(x+y) \leqslant p(x) + p(y)$ for all $x,y \in E$ and $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$ for all $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and all $x \in E$. If E is a linear space, we denote by E* the dual space of E which is the set of all linear functional from E into the real field. In our proof of Theorem 5, we shall need, not only Lemma 2, but also Lemma3 below, which is a special case of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

LEMMA 3. If p is sublinear on a linear space E and $x_0 \in E$, then there is an $f \in E^*$ such that $f(x) \leqslant p(x)$ for all $x \in E$ and $f(x_0) = p(x_0)$.

PROOF. Let F be the product space R^E, then F is a linear topological space. If we put

$$X_0 = \prod_{x \in E} [-p(-x), p(x)],$$

then X_0 is a compact convex subset of F. We consider a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X_0 defined by

$$f_n(x) = p(x + nx_0) - p(nx_0), \quad x \in E.$$

Since X_0 is compact, there is a subnet $\{f_{n_\alpha}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ which converges to $f_0 \in X_0$. It is easily seen that

$$-p(y-y) \leqslant f_0(x) - f_0(y) \leqslant p(x-y)$$

for all $x, y \in E$. If $\lambda \in R$, then there is α_0 such that $\lambda + n_\alpha > 0$ for all $\alpha \geqslant \alpha_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\lambda x_0) &= \lim_\alpha (p(\lambda x_0 + n_\alpha x_0) - p(n_\alpha x_0)) \\ &= \lim_\alpha ((\lambda + n_\alpha)p(x_0) - n_\alpha p(x_0)) \\ &= \lambda p(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

If we put

$$X_1 = \{f \in X_0 : -p(y-x) \leqslant f(x) - f(y) \leqslant p(x-y), \quad x, y \in E \text{ and } f(\lambda x_0) = \lambda p(x_0), \ \lambda \in R\},$$

then X_1 is nonempty. It is easily seen that X_1 is compact and convex. We consider a commuting family $\{T_\mu : \mu \in R\}$ of continuous affine mappings of X_1 into itself defined by

$$(T_\mu f)x = f(x + \mu x_0) - f(\mu x_0), \quad f \in X_1, \quad x \in E.$$

By the Markov-Kakutani fixed point theorem, there is an $f_1 \in X_1$ such that

$$f_1(x + \mu x_0) = f_1(x) + f_1(\mu x_0),$$

for every $x \in E$ and $\mu \in R$. Hence if we put

$$X_2 = \{f \in X_1 : f(x + \mu x_0) = f(x) + f(\mu x_0) \text{ for every } x \in E \text{ and } \mu \in R\},$$

then X_2 is nonempty. Furthermore X_2 is compact and convex. We consider a commuting family $\{T_y : y \in E\}$ of continuous affine mappings of X_2 into itself defined by

$$(T_y f)x = f(x + y) - f(y), \quad f \in X_2, \quad x \in E.$$

By the Markov-Kakutani fixed point theorem again, there is an $f_2 \in X_2$ such that

$$f_2(x + y) = f_2(x) + f_2(y), \quad x, y \in E.$$

Hence if we put

$$X_3 = \{f \in X_1 : f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), \ x,y \in E\},$$

then X_3 is nonempty compact and convex. We consider a commuting family $\{S_\mu : \mu > 0\}$ of continuous affine mappings of X_3 into itself defined by

$$(S_\mu f)x = \frac{f(\mu x)}{\mu}, \quad f \in X_3, \quad x \in E.$$

By the Markov-Kakutani fixed point theorem, there is an $f_3 \in X_3$ such that

$$f_3(\mu x) = \mu f_3(x), \quad \mu > 0.$$

This implies that f_3 is linear, so the proof is complete.

THEOREM 5(Hirano-Komiya-Takahashi). Let p be a sublinear functional on a linear space E, let C be a nonempty convex subset of E, and let f be a concave functional on C such that $f(x) \leqslant p(x)$ for all $x \in C$, then there is an $f_0 \in E^*$ such that $f(x) \leqslant f_0(x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $f_0(y) \leqslant p(y)$ for all $y \in E$.

PROOF. Let F be the linear topological space R^E with the product topology and let X_0 be the compact convex subset

$$\prod_{x \in E} [-p(-x), p(x)]$$

of F. Let $B = \{g \in E^* : g(x) \leqslant p(x)$ for all $x \in E\}$, then B is nonempty by Lemma 3. Since X_0 is compact, B is compact convex. For each $x \in C$, we define a real valued functional G_x on B by

$$G_x(g) = f(x) - g(x), \quad g \in B.$$

By Lemma 3, for any $x \in C$, there is a $g \in E^*$ such that $G_x(g\ ) \leqslant 0$. If $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in C$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n \geqslant 0$ with $\Sigma \lambda_i = 1$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i G_{x_i}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i (f(x_i) - g(x_i))$$
$$\leqslant f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i) - g(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i)$$
$$\leqslant G_z(g)$$

for all $g \in B$, where $z = \Sigma\lambda_i x_i \in C$. Hence, by Lemma 2, there is an $f_0 \in B$ such that $G_x(f_0) \leqslant 0$ for all $x \in C$, that is, $f(x) \leqslant f_0(x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $f_0(y) \leqslant p(y)$ for all $y \in E$.

COROLLARY 8(The Hahn-Banach theorem). Let p be a sublinear functional on a linear space E, let L be a linear subspace of E, and let f be an element of L* such that $f(x) \leqslant p(x)$ for all $x \in L$, then there is an $f_0 \in E^*$ such that $f_0(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in L$ and $f_0(y) \leqslant p(y)$ for all $y \in E$.

PROOF. By Theorem 5 there is an $f_0 \in E^*$ such that $f_0(x) \geqslant f(x)$ for all $x \in L$. Since L is a linear subspace of E*, we have $f_0(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in L$.

Let p be a sublinear functional on E. For two nonempty subset A and B of E, we consider a number p(A,B) given by $\inf\{ p(x - y) : x \in A, y \in B \}$.

THEOREM 6(Hirano-Komiya-Takahashi). Let p be a sublinear functional on a linear space E. If C and D are nonempty convex subsets of E such that $p(C,D) > -\infty$, then there is an $f \in E^*$ such that

$$\inf\{ f(x) : x \in C \} = p(C,D) + \sup\{ f(y) : y \in D \}$$

and $f(x) \leqslant p(x)$ for all $x \in E$.

PROOF. We again consider the compact convex subset $B = \{ g \in E^* : g(x) \leqslant p(x)$ for all $x \in E \}$ of the linear topological space F. Let $p_0 = p(C,D)$. For each $x \in C$, we define a functional G_x on B with values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$ by

$$G_x(g) = \sup \{ g(y - x) : y \in D \} + p_0, \quad g \in B.$$

Then G_x is lower semicontinuous and convex. Also we have that if $x_1, x_2, \ldots , x_n \in C$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots , \lambda_n \geqslant 0$ with $\Sigma\lambda_i = 1$, then

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i \in C \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i G_{x_i} = G_z .$$

So, if we can show that for each $x \in C$, there is a $g \in B$ with $G_x(g) \leqslant 0$, then we obtain, by Lemma 2, that there is an $f \in B$ with $G_x(f) \leqslant 0$ for all $x \in C$. Hence we have

$$\sup\{ f(y - x) : y \in D \} + p_0 \leqslant 0$$

for all $x \in C$; that is,

$$\sup\{ f(y) : y \in D \} + p_0 \leqslant \inf\{ f(x) : x \in C \}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &\leqslant \inf\{ f(x) : x \in C \} - \sup\{ f(x) : y \in D \} \\ &\leqslant \inf\{ f(x - y) : x \in C, y \in D \} \\ &\leqslant \inf\{ p(x - y) : x \in C, y \in D \} \\ &= p_0 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that $f(x) \leqslant p(x)$ for all $x \in E$ and

$$\inf\{ f(x) : x \in C \} = p(C,D) + \sup\{ f(y) : y \in D \} .$$

Now to complete the proof, we need only to show that for each $x \in C$ there is a $g \in B$ with $G_x(g) \leqslant 0$. Let $x \in C$. Then for each $y \in D$, we define a continuous affine fuctional H_y on B by

$$H_y(g) = g(y - x) + p_0, \qquad g \in B.$$

By Lemma 3, for each $y \in D$, there is a $g \in B$ such that $g(x - y) = p(x - y)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_y(g) &= -g(x - y) + p_0 \\ &= -p(x - y) + p_0 \\ &\leqslant 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2, there is a $g_0 \in B$ such that $H_y(g_0) \leqslant 0$ for all $y \in D$. Therefore we have

$$G_x(g_0) = \sup\{ H_y(g_0) : y \in D \} \leqslant 0.$$

Let N be a normed linear space and N' the dual space of N, that is, the set of all continuous linear functional from N into R. For two subsets A and B of N, the distance d(A,B) between A and B is given by $\inf\{ \|x - y\| : x \in A, y \in B \}$.

COROLLARY 9. If C and D are nonempty convex subsets of a normed linear space N such that $d(C,D) > 0$, then there is an $f \in N'$ such that $\|f\| = 1$ and

$$\inf\{ f(x) : x \in C \} = d(C,D) + \sup\{ f(y) : y \in D \} .$$

PROOF. By Theorem 6, there is an $f \in N'$ such that $f(x) \leqslant \|x\|$ for all $x \in N$ and

$$\inf\{ f(x) : x \in C \} = d(C,D) + \sup\{ f(y) : y \in D \}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(C,D) &= \inf\{ f(x) : x \in C \} - \sup\{ f(y) : y \in D \} \\ &\leqslant \inf\{ f(x - y) : x \in C, y \in D \} \\ &\leqslant \inf\{ \|f\|\cdot\|x - y\| : x \in C, y \in D \} \\ &= \|f\|d(C,D) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(C,D) > 0$, we have $\|f\| \geqslant 1$ and hence $\|f\| = 1$.

REFERENCES

1. J.B.Baillon, Un théorèms de type ergodic pour les contractions non linéaires dans un espace de Hilbert, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 280 (1975), 1511-1514.

2. ______, Quelques propriétés de convergence asymptotique pour les semigroupes de contractions impaires, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 283 (1976), 75-78.

3. J.B.Baillon and H.Brézis, Une remarque sur le comportement asymptotique des semigroupes non linéares, Houston J. Math., 2 (1976), 5-7.

4. V.Barbu and T.Precupanu, Convexity and optimization

in Banach spaces, Editura Academiei R.SR., Bucharest, 1975.

5. H.Brézis, Equations et inéquations non linéaires dans les espaces vectoriels en dualité, Ann Inst. Fourier, 18 (1965), 115-175.

6. H.Brézis and F.E.Browder, Remarks on nonlinear ergodic theory, Advances in Math., 25 (1977), 165-177.

7. F.E.Browder, The fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings in topological vector spaces, Math. Ann., 177 (1968), 283-301.

8. M.M.Day, Amenable semigroups, Illinois J. Math., 1 (1957), 509-544.

9. Ky Fan, Existence theorems and extreme solutions for inequalities concerning convex functions or linear transformations, Math. Z., 68 (1957), 205-217.

10. _____, A generalization of Tychonoff fixed point theorem, Math. Ann., 142 (1961), 305-310.

11. N.Hirano, H.Komiya and W.Takahashi, A generalization of the Hahn-Banach theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., to appear.

12. N.Hirano and W.Takahashi, Existence theorems on unbounded sets in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

13. _____, Nonlinear ergodic theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, Kodai Math. J., 2 (1979), 11-25.

14. S.Karamardian, Generalized complementarity problem, J. Optimization Theory Appl., 8 (1971), 161-168.

15. A.Pazy, Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Hilbert space, Israel J. Math., 9 (1971), 235-240.

16. A.Pazy, On the asymptotic behavior of iterates of nonexpansive mappings, Israel J. Math., 26 (1977), 197-204.

17. _____, On the asymptotic behavior of semigroups of nonlinear contractions in Hilbert space, J. Functional Analysis 3 (1978), 292-307.

18. K.Sakamaki and W.Takahashi, Systems of convex inequalities and their applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 70 (1979), 445-459.

19. W.Takahashi, Fixed point theorem for amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 21 (1969), 383-386.

20. _____, Invariant functions for amenable semigroups of positive contractions on L^1, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 23 (1971), 131-143.

21. _____, Ergodic theorems for amenable semigroups of positive contractions on L^1, Sci. Rep. Yokohama Nat. Univ., 19 (1972), 5-11.

22. _____, Nonlinear variational inequalities and fixed point theorems, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28 (1976), 168-181.

23. _____, Nonlinear complementarity problem and systems of convex inequalities, J. Optimization Theory Appl., 24 (1978), 499-506.

24. _____, A nonlinear ergodic theorem for an amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.